Synthesis and Characterization of $2,6-Trip_{2}H_{3}C_{6}PbPbC_{6}H_{3}-2,6-Trip_{2}$ (Trip = C₆H₂-2,4,6-*i*-Pr₃): A Stable Heavier Group 14 **Element Analogue of an Alkyne**

Lihung Pu, Brendan Twamley, and Philip P. Power*

Department of Chemistry, University of California, Davis One Shields Avenue, Davis, California 95616

Received September 15, 1999

The synthesis and isolation of compounds with multiple bonds between heavier main group elements have attracted widespread interest owing to their unusual properties, structures and bonding, which differ markedly from those of their lighter congeners.¹ Heavier element analogues of ethylene, H₂C=CH₂, have played a leading role in the development of the field, and a complete series of compounds of elements from the carbon group (group 14) of formula $R_2E=ER_2$ (E = Si,² Ge,^{3,4} Sn,^{4,5} or Pb,^{6,7} R = bulky hydrocarbon group) has been isolated in the solid state by using various sterically crowding substituents. However, all currently known compounds of the formulas R₂SnSnR₂ and R₂PbPbR₂, as well as many R₂GeGeR₂ species, dissociate in solution to the monomers: ER_2 (E = Ge, Sn, or Pb) indicating that the bonding between these elements is weak.⁸ The corresponding heavier element analogues of acetylene of formula REER (E = Si, Ge, Sn, or Pb) have not been stabilized, although theoretical data on the hypothetical silicon⁹⁻¹¹ and germanium^{9,12} derivatives have described them as triple bonded. Experimental data on the physical properties or bonding of heavier group 14 acetylene analogues are limited to gas-phase spectroscopy on mono-¹³ or di¹⁴-bridged isomers of Si₂H₂. In addition, recent attempts to synthesize ArEEAr (E = Ge and Sn) species via reduction of the halide E(Cl)Ar by alkali metals have led only to the isolation of reduced salts such as [K(THF)₆][2,6-Trip₂H₃C₆-SnSnC₆H₃-2,6-Trip₂].¹⁵ We now report the synthesis and characterization of the neutral lead species 2,6-Trip₂H₃C₆PbPbC₆H₃-2,6-Trip₂, which is the first formal heavier group 14 element analogue of a substituted acetylene molecule.

The title species $\mathbf{1}$ was synthesized¹⁶ in ca. 10% yield by the reaction of LiAlH₄ with Pb(Br)C₆H₃-2,6-Trip₂. The mechanism of this reaction is currently unknown, but it may proceed through the hydride derivative Pb(H)C₆H₃-2,6-Trip₂ which may then condense to give the product 2,6-Trip₂H₃C₆PbPbC₆H₃-2,6-Trip₂ with hydrogen elimination as shown in eq 1:

(1) Stone, F. G. A.; West, R., Eds. Adv. Organomet. Chem. **1996**, 39. (2) Okazaki, R.; West, R. Adv. Organomet. Chem. **1996**, 39, 232–270

(3) Hitchcock, P. B.; Lappert, M. F.; Miles, S. J.; Thorne, A. J. J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun. **1984**, 480–481.

(4) Baines, K. M.; Stibbs, W. G. Adv. Organomet. Chem. 1996, 39, 275-320

- (5) Goldberg, D. E.; Harris, D. H.; Lappert, M. F.; Thomas, K. M. J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun. 1976, 261-262
- (6) Stürmann, M.; Weidenbruch, M.; Klinkhammer, K. W.; Lissner, F.; Marsmann, H. Organometallics **1998**, *17*, 4425–4428.

(7) Weidenbruch, M. Eur. J. Inorg. Chem. 1999, 373–381.
(8) Power, P. P. J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans. 1998, 2939–2951.
(9) Grev, R. S. Adv. Organomet. Chem. 1991, 33, 125–170.
(10) Colegrove, B. T.; Schaefer, H. F. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1991, 113, 1557– 1561

(11) Kobayashi, K.; Nagase, S. Organometallics 1997, 16, 1489-2491. (12) Palágyi, Z.; Schaefer, H. F.; Kapuy, E. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1993, 115, 6901-6903

(13) Cordonnier, M.; Bogey, M.; Demuynck, C.; Destombes, J.-L. J. Chem. Phys. 1992, 97, 7984-7989

(14) Bogey, M.; Bolvin, H.; Demuynck, C.; Destombes, J.-L. Phys. Rev. Lett. 1991, 66, 413-416.

(15) Olmstead, M. M.; Simons, R. S.; Power, P. P. J. Am. Chem. Soc. **1997**, *119*, 11705–11706. Pu, L.; Senge, M. O.; Olmstead, M. M.; Power, P. P. J. Am. Chem. Soc. **1998**, *120*, 12682–12683.

$$2Pb(Br)Ar \xrightarrow{\text{LiAlH}_4} Ar = \frac{2Pb(H)Ar}{-C_6H_3 - 2,6 - Trip_2} \xrightarrow{-H_2} ArPbPbAr \quad (1)$$

Dichroic, amber-green crystals of 1. hexane were grown from hexane and the molecular structure (Figure 1) was determined by X-ray crystallography.¹⁷ It consists of noninteracting 2,6-Trip₂H₃C₆PbPbC₆H₃-2,6-Trip₂ molecules which exist in a transbent rather than linear form. There is a center of symmetry midway along the Pb-Pb bond, as a result of which the two lead atoms and the two ipso carbons of the aryl groups all lie in the same plane. The central rings of the aryl ligands lie almost perpendicular to this plane. It can be seen from the space filling model (Figure 2) that the sterically crowding aryl ligands effectively surround the Pb-Pb moiety thereby ensuring its stability against decomposition.

The most important structural parameters of **1** are the Pb-Pb bond length, 3.1881(1) Å, and the Pb-Pb-C angle, 94.26(4)°. The Pb-Pb bond distance is significantly longer than typical values in diplumbanes such as $Ph_3PbPbPh_3$ (Pb-Pb = 2.844(4) Å)¹⁸ although it is shorter than the interatomic distance of 3.49 Å in metallic lead.¹⁹ The observed Pb-Pb-C angle, which is relatively close to 90°, indicates little hybridization²⁰ of the lead valence orbitals as well as the presence of a lone pair at each metal. In other words, each lead uses two of its 6p orbitals for bonding to the ipso-carbon and the other lead atom, the remaining 6p orbital is unoccupied, and the lone pair is thus accommodated in the lower energy 6s orbital. The use of almost pure p-orbitals instead of more hybridized orbitals and the presence of lone pairs at each lead may account for the length of the Pb-Pb bond.^{21,22} In addition the relatively long Pb–C bond of 2.303(2) Å (cf. av. Pb-C = 2.22(2) Å in $Ph_3PbPbPh_3$)¹⁸ is consistent with this view.

The strongly bent geometry of 1 indicates that it exists in the unique dimethylene form (b) instead of the acetylene like

(16) (a) All manipulations were carried out using modified Schlenk techniques under an atmosphere of N_2 or in a Vacuum Atmosphere HE-43 added to a pale orange solution of Pb(Br){C₆H₃-2,6-Trip₂} (2.80 mmol) synthesized by the addition of $(E_{t_2}O)LiC_6H_3-2,6-Trip_2^{16b}$ (1.58 g, 2.8 mmol) symmetrized by the taubound $(126)E_{16}^{10}E_{16}^{1$ was allowed to come to room temperature. The ether was removed under reduced pressure, and the light green-black residue was extracted with hexane (40 mL). The solution was separated from the green-black precipitate by decanting it to another flask. The volume of the yellowish green solution was reduced to incipient crystallization and stored in a ca. 6 °C freezer to give the reduced to incipient crystalization and stored in a ca. 6 °C freezer to give the product as amber-green dichroic crystals. Yield 0.38 g, 9.8%. Mp 183–185 °C. ¹H NMR (C₆D₆): δ 1.18 (mult, 48H, o-CH(CH₃)₂), 1.32 (d, 24H, p-CH-(CH₃)₂, J_{HH} = 6.8 Hz), 2.95 (sept, 4H, p-CH(CH₃)₂, J_{HH} = 6.8 Hz), 3.25 (sept, 8H, o-CH(CH₃)₂, J_{HH} = 6.8 Hz), 6.19 (t, 2H, p-C₆H₃, J_{HH} = 7.2 Hz), 7.00 (s, 4H, m-Trip), 7.10 (s, 4H, m-Trip), 7.68 (d, 4H, p-C₆H₃, J_{HH} = 7.2 Hz), ¹³C(¹H₃)(C₆H₆): δ 25.10 (o-CH(CH₃)₂), 26.66 (o-CH(CH₃)₂), 32.99 (EVCH) 24.70 (c, CH(CH)) 24.70 CH(CH₃)₂), 33.70 (*o*-CH(CH₃)₂), 34.80 (*p*-CH(CH₃)₂), 123.28 (*m*-Trip), 128.65 (m-C₆H₃), 129.36 (p-C₆H₃), 136.36 (i-Trip), 148.17 (p-Trip), 148.74 (o-Trip), 153.32 (o-C₆H₃), 198.65 (i-C₆H₃). IR (Nujol mull): 1915(vw), 1850(vw), 1755 (vw), 1600(s), 1560(m), 1550(m), 1360(s), 1315(m), 1185(w), 1165(w), 1150-(w), 1092(w), 1975(w), 1065(w), 1050(vw), 1020(w), 1005(w), 935(w), 920(vw), 890(vw), 875(s), 850(vw), 835(vw), 820(vw), 795(s), 770(m), 730-(s), 690(vw), 645(m), 580(vw), 525(vw), 485(vw), 40(w), 240(m). UV– vis (hexane): 719 nm, (5200); 397 nm, (29000); (b) Schiemenz, B.; Power, P. P. *Organometallics* **1996**, *15*, 958.

P. P. Organometalitics **1990**, *15*, 958. (17) Crystallographic data for **1**-hexane at 93 K with Mo K α ($\mu = 0.71073$ Å) radiation: a = 13.3312(3) Å, b = 15.9539(4) Å, c = 16.8793(4) Å, $\beta = 103.720(1)^\circ$; V = 3487.53(14) Å³; space group *P*2₁/*c*; Z = 4; M = 1464.06g mol⁻¹; $\rho_{calc} = 1.394$ g cm⁻³, *F*(000) = 1488. Equipment: Bruker SMART 1000. Data processing software: solution, XS, Bruker SHELXTL v. 5.10; refinement (XL, Bruker SHELXTL) v. 5.10; full-matrix least squares on *F*²; *P*(*h*) *k*(*h*) = 0.0206(0).0556(2)

R1/wR2 for 9721 $(I \ge 2 \sigma(I))$ data = 0.0206/0.0536. (18) Preut, H.; Huber, F. Z. Anorg. Allg. Chem. **1976**, 419, 92–96. (19) Wells, A. F. Structural Inorganic Chemistry, 5th ed.; Clarendon: Oxford, 1984; p 1278.

(20) Pauling, L. The Nature of the Chemical Bond, 3rd ed.; Cornell University Press: Ithaca, NY, 1960; p 256.

(21) For a recent discussion of some of the factors that can influence bond lengths in Pb(II) and Pb(IV) compounds see: Kaupp, M.; Schleyer, P. v. R. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1993, 115, 1061.

10.1021/ja993346m CCC: \$19.00 © 2000 American Chemical Society Published on Web 03/24/2000



Figure 1. Thermal ellipsoidal (30%) plot of **1**. H atoms are not shown for clarity. Selected bond distances (Å) and angles (deg): Pb(1)-Pb(1A) = 3.1881(1), Pb(1)-C(1) = 2.303(2), C(1)-C(2) = 1.411(2), C(1)C(6) = 1.406(2), C(1)-Pb(1)-Pb(1A) = 94.26(4), C(2)-C(1)-Pb(1) = 114.02(11), C(6)-C(1)-Pb(1) = 127.69(12), C(2)-C(1)-C(6) = 118.17(15).

dimethylyne form (a) as shown in Figure 3. The fact that (b) can be derived from (a) by the conversion of two bond pairs in (a) to two lone-pairs in (b) does not necessarily imply weakness of the Pb–Pb π -bonds, however. Indeed, it has been shown recently²³ that π -bonding involving 6p orbitals can be quite important, as in the doubly bonded species TbtBi=BiTbt (Tbt = C₆H₂-2,4,6-

(23) Tokitoh, N.; Arai, Y.; Okazaki, R.; Nagase, S. *Science* **1997**, *277*, 78–80.

 (24) Kutzelnigg, W. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl. 1984, 23, 272–295.
 (25) Pyykkö, P. Chem. Rev. 1988, 88, 563. Kaltsoyannis, N. J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans. 1997, 1.



Figure 2. Space filling model of **1** (viewed almost along the Pb–Pb axis) illustrating the high steric protection of the Pb–Pb moiety.



Figure 3. Linear and bent electronic forms of 1.

 ${CH(SiMe_3)_2}_3$, which involves the neighboring element bismuth. Thus, the preference for the valence isomer (b) is a consequence of the decreased hybridization of the s and p orbitals in heavier main group elements²⁴ which in the case of lead is further diminished by relativistic effects.²⁵

The unique structure of 1, which has an essentially empty 6porbital and a lone pair at each lead, leads to the expectation that it could behave as both a Lewis acid and base. Investigation of this chemistry as well as attempts to synthesize germanium and tin analogues of 1 are in hand.

Acknowledgment. We are grateful to the National Science Foundation for generous financial support. The Bruker SMART 1000 diffractometer was funded in part by NSF Instrumentation Grant CHE-9808259.

Supporting Information Available: Tables of data collection parameters, atom coordinates, bond distances, angles, anisotropic thermal parameters, and hydrogen coordinates (PDF). An X-ray crystallographic file (CIF). This material is available free of charge via the Internet at http://pubs.acs.org.

JA993346M

⁽²²⁾ Another explanation for the length of the Pb–Pb bond is that the structure involves bridging or terminal hydrogens as in {Pb(μ -H)C₆H₃-2,6-Trip₂}₂ or {Pb(H)C₆H₃-2,6-Trip₂}₂, compounds which would also be of significant interest. We think that the hydride is unlikely for a number of reasons: (a) the ¹H NMR displays no peak attributable to Pb–H hydrogens; (b) reduction of Pb(Br)C₆H₃-2,6-Trip₂ with LiAlD₄ affords a product that has an identical ¹H NMR spectrum to that of 1; (c) the ²H NMR spectrum of the LiAlD₄ reduction product displayed no resonances other than those of solvent C₆D₆; (d) the IR spectra of 1 and the product from the reduction with LiAlD₄ are essentially identical; (e) a cyclic voltammogram of 1 displays a quasireversible reduction peak consistent with the presence of empty p orbitals in 1; (f) no stable compounds containing the Pb–H moiety are known to be stable that present. In addition, a ²⁰⁷Pb NMR signal could not be detected in solution—possibly as a result of large anisotropies in the chemical shift tensor. The authors acknowledge that this evidence is largely of a negative character and that the definitive experiment would be a neutron diffraction study of 1. Attempts to grow sufficiently large crystals for this experiment are in progress. (23) Tokitoh, N.; Arai, Y.; Okazaki, R.; Nagase, S. *Science* **1997**, 277,